



## Journal of Economics, Business, and Commerce (JEBC)

ISSN: 3007-9705 (Online)

Volume 1 Issue 1, (2024)

 <https://doi.org/10.69739/jebc.v1i1.34>

 <https://journals.stecab.com/index.php/jebc>



Published by  
Stecab Publishing

### Research Article

## Analyzing Administrative Efficiency: Student Perspectives on Response Rates at Kogi State University, Kabba-Nigeria

\*<sup>1</sup>Fanisi Babatunde, <sup>2</sup>Oshaloto Olutade

### About Article

#### Article History

Submission: March 20, 2024

Acceptance : April 29, 2024

Publication : June 2, 2024

#### Keywords

*Administrative Efficiency, Response Rates, Student Perceptions*

#### About Author

<sup>1</sup> Department of Business Administration,  
Kogi State University, Kabba, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup> Public Relations Officer, Kogi State  
University, Kabba, Nigeria

Contact @ Fanisi Babatunde  
[tbfanisi@ksukabba.edu.ng](mailto:tbfanisi@ksukabba.edu.ng)

### ABSTRACT

This study aimed to analyze the administrative efficiency and response rates at Kogi State University Kabba as perceived by students. The study gathered data through in-depth interviews of randomly sampled Two Hundred and Forty Students (240) out of the estimated admitted population of One Thousand, Two Hundred Students (1200). Findings from the study suggests suggest that while there is an appreciation for effective and transparent communication, there are notable concerns about timeliness, especially during critical periods like registration. Access to information on the website is perceived differently, with some students finding it challenging. Generational, departmental, and socioeconomic factors contribute to nuanced perspectives on administrative efficiency. The study therefore recommends that management Implements measures to enhance response times during peak periods. Simplify communication language to improve clarity for all students. Focus on improving website accessibility and transparency of information. Provide training to enhance empathetic interactions in administrative responses.

### Citation Style:

Faniisi, B., & Oshaloto, O. (2024). Analyzing Administrative Efficiency: Student Perspectives on Response Rates at Kogi State University, Kabba-Nigeria. *Journal of Economics, Business, and Commerce*, 1(1), 15-19. <https://doi.org/10.69739/jebc.v1i1.34>



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Education institutions play a crucial role in fostering an environment conducive to learning and personal development. Central to this environment is the administrative machinery, responsible for managing various aspects of student affairs, services, and communication. In the context of Kogi State University, Kabba, the efficiency of administrative processes, particularly in terms of response rates to student inquiries or concerns, becomes a critical aspect of the overall student experience.

Kogi State University, Kabba, as a tertiary institution, operates in a dynamic and competitive academic landscape where effective communication and swift administrative responses are paramount for student satisfaction and success. The ability of administrative staff to promptly address student queries, process requests, and provide necessary information can significantly influence the overall perception students have of their university experience.

Student perspectives on administrative efficiency are multifaceted, encompassing factors such as the speed of response, clarity of communication, and the overall effectiveness of administrative processes. Research in this area is essential not only for the continuous improvement of university services but also for maintaining a positive institutional image, fostering a conducive learning environment, and enhancing the overall quality of education.

Several studies (Jones, 2019; Smith *et al.*, 2020) underscore the importance of administrative responsiveness in shaping student experiences and satisfaction. These studies highlight that institutions with streamlined administrative processes tend to create a more supportive and engaging atmosphere for students. However, the specific context of administrative efficiency and student perceptions at Kogi State University, Kabba, remains an underexplored area.

Hence, this research aims to fill this gap by systematically analyzing student perspectives on administrative response rates within the university. The findings of this study are expected to provide valuable insights for university administrators, policymakers, and stakeholders to enhance administrative efficiency, ultimately contributing to an improved student experience at Kogi State University, Kabba.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1. Conceptual Clarification

#### 2.1.1. Administrative Efficiency

Administrative efficiency refers to the ability of an organization's administrative processes to achieve desired outcomes with optimal use of resources, time, and effort. In the context of higher education institutions, administrative efficiency is crucial for providing effective support services to students and maintaining the overall functionality of the institution. According to Adekunle (2018), administrative efficiency involves the streamlined execution of administrative tasks, minimizing bureaucratic hurdles and delays. The researcher emphasizes the need for Nigerian universities to embrace efficient administrative practices to enhance overall institutional effectiveness.

Building on this perspective, Peters (2017) contends that administrative efficiency is not solely about speed but also about the effectiveness and quality of administrative processes. Peters argues that a well-functioning administrative system ensures accuracy, transparency, and responsiveness to the needs of stakeholders, including students.

In the Nigerian context, Ogundele (2019) emphasizes the role of technology in enhancing administrative efficiency in universities. Ogundele argues that the integration of modern information and communication technologies (ICTs) can significantly streamline administrative processes, leading to improved services for students and stakeholders.

Drawing from a global perspective, Smith (2021) explores the link between administrative efficiency and institutional reputation. Smith posits that universities with efficient administrative processes tend to enjoy positive reputations, which can influence student enrollment, satisfaction, and overall institutional success.

The concept of administrative efficiency encompasses streamlined processes, effectiveness, the integration of technology, and its impact on institutional reputation. Understanding these dimensions is crucial for addressing challenges and implementing improvements in the administrative systems of higher education institutions, both in Nigeria and globally.

#### 2.1.2. Student Perspectives on Response Rates

Student perspectives on response rates refer to the subjective viewpoints and evaluations that students hold regarding the timeliness and effectiveness of administrative feedback or communication within an educational institution. It involves assessing how students perceive the speed, clarity, and relevance of responses they receive from administrative staff, influencing their overall satisfaction and experience. One crucial aspect of student perspectives on response rates is the timeliness of administrative feedback. As Johnson (2016) highlighted, students often value prompt responses to inquiries or concerns, considering it an essential factor in their overall satisfaction with the institution. The clarity of communication in administrative responses is another critical dimension. According to Liu and Wang (2018), students appreciate responses that are clear, concise, and provide relevant information. Clear communication fosters a positive perception of administrative effectiveness and commitment to student needs. Student perspectives also encompass the perceived relevance of administrative responses to their queries or concerns. As argued by Garcia (2020), students value responses that directly address their needs and provide meaningful solutions, contributing to a positive perception of the institution's commitment to student success. The collective impact of response rates on overall student satisfaction is a central aspect. In their research, Chen and Li (2017) highlight that students who perceive higher response rates from administrators tend to report greater satisfaction with their educational experience. Understanding these conceptual dimensions is essential for educational institutions seeking to enhance student experiences, as it allows administrators to tailor their communication strategies to meet student expectations and foster a positive institutional reputation.



## 2.2. Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study integrates two key theoretical perspectives: the Systems Theory and the Service Quality Model. These theories provide a comprehensive lens through which to understand the interplay between administrative efficiency and student perspectives on response rates.

### 2.2.1. Systems Theory

Drawing from the Systems Theory, as proposed by Bertalanffy (1968), this study views an educational institution as a complex system composed of interconnected components, including administrative processes and student experiences. The Systems Theory posits that the efficiency of one subsystem (administrative processes) can significantly impact the overall effectiveness and satisfaction of the entire system (student experience). As Bertalanffy (1968) suggests, "Efficiency within a system is achieved when its components work harmoniously, and changes in one component have ripple effects throughout the system."

### 2.2.2. Service Quality Model

The Service Quality Model, as developed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry (1988), provides a structured framework to evaluate service delivery and customer satisfaction. Adapting this model to higher education, the study incorporates the five dimensions of service quality—reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and tangibles—to analyze administrative efficiency and its impact on student perceptions of response rates. According to Parasuraman et al. (1988), "Service quality is determined by the customer's perception of how well a service meets or exceeds their expectations across these five dimensions."

By combining the Systems Theory and the Service Quality Model, this theoretical framework posits that efficient administrative processes (as a subsystem within the educational institution) influence the perceived quality of service, particularly in terms of responsiveness, thereby shaping student perspectives on response rates. The framework allows for a holistic examination of the relationships between administrative efficiency and student satisfaction within the larger context of the educational system. This theoretical foundation guides the research design, data collection, and analysis, providing a structured approach to explore the intricate dynamics between administrative efficiency and student perceptions of response rates in higher education.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

### 3.1. Research Design: Qualitative Approach

The study adopted a qualitative research design to gain in-depth insights into the administrative efficiency and student perspectives on response rates at Kogi State University, Kabba. Qualitative research is particularly suited for exploring nuanced experiences and perceptions, making it an appropriate choice for understanding the intricacies of administrative processes from the students' point of view.

The target population comprises 1200 newly admitted students at Kogi State University, Kabba. The sample size was determined through purposive sampling, ensuring representation from

different faculties and departments. A diverse sample provides a comprehensive understanding of administrative efficiency across various academic units.

Considering the exploratory nature of qualitative research and the diversity of the student population, a sample size of approximately 20% of the total population, i.e., 240 newly admitted students, was deemed adequate. This size allowed for in-depth exploration while ensuring a manageable and comprehensive analysis.

The primary data collection method was semi-structured interviews. Semi-structured interviews allowed for flexibility in questioning, enabling the researcher to probe deeper into specific responses while maintaining a conversational and participant-focused approach. A set of open-ended questions was designed to elicit detailed responses regarding students' experiences with administrative processes, focusing on response rates, clarity, and overall satisfaction.

Thematic analysis was employed to identify patterns, themes, and categories within the qualitative data. The process involves coding the interview transcripts, grouping codes into themes, and interpreting the findings. This method allowed for a rigorous and systematic exploration of the data.

Triangulation was used to enhance the validity of findings. Multiple data sources, such as interviews with administrative staff and document analysis, was utilized to cross-verify and validate student perspectives. The research was conducted over a period of 1 month, including planning, data collection, analysis, and report writing.

### 3.1.1. Thematic Analysis Plan

Demographic Information and Perceptions of Administrative Efficiency

#### I. Response Rates

Code 1.1 - Timeliness: Identify instances where students express satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the speed of administrative responses.

Code 1.2 - Effectiveness: Capture perceptions related to the effectiveness of responses in addressing students' inquiries or concerns.

#### II. Clarity of Communication

Code 2.1 - Language Clarity: Analyze comments regarding the clarity of language used in administrative communication.

Code 2.2 - Information Transparency: Explore opinions on how transparent administrative communication is in conveying processes and policies.

#### III. Overall Satisfaction

Code 3.1 - Accessibility: Examine students' views on how easily accessible administrative services are.

Code 3.2 - Transparency: Investigate whether transparency in administrative processes contributes to overall satisfaction.

Code 3.3 - Empathy: Capture instances where students mention the importance of empathetic interactions in administrative encounters.

#### IV. Cross-Cutting Analysis

Code 4.1 - Generational Differences: Note variations in responses based on age, exploring if generational perspectives influence how students perceive response rates.

Code 4.2 - Departmental Variances: Identify any trends or patterns in the data that highlight differences in perceptions



of administrative efficiency among students from different academic departments.

Code 4.3 - Socioeconomic Impact: Analyze if there are connections between students' socioeconomic backgrounds and their overall satisfaction with administrative processes.

### 3.2. Data Presentation

Data Presentation: Thematic Analysis of Administrative Efficiency

#### I. Response Rates

Code 1.1 - Timeliness

"I appreciate the quick response to admission queries; it shows they value our concerns."

"Waiting for responses during registration can be frustrating, especially for urgent matters."

Code 1.2 - Effectiveness

"Generally effective, but sometimes the responses lack detailed information."

"Effectiveness varies; some departments address issues more efficiently than others."

#### II. Clarity of Communication

Code 2.1 - Language Clarity

"Jargon in communication can be confusing. They should simplify for everyone."

"Communication is clear and straightforward, making it easy to understand."

Code 2.2 - Information Transparency

"They need to be more transparent about certain processes; it can get confusing."

"Appreciate the transparency, especially during admissions. They explain every step."

#### III. Overall Satisfaction

Code 3.1 - Accessibility

"Finding information on the website can be challenging. They should improve accessibility."

"The website is well-organized; I find everything easily. The staff is helpful."

Code 3.2 - Transparency

"Transparency is crucial, especially with grades. They need to improve in this area."

"I feel the university is transparent about policies, which makes me more confident."

Code 3.3 - Empathy

"More empathy in responses would be appreciated. It sometimes feels robotic."

"I appreciate when they show understanding, especially during personal challenges."

#### IV. Cross-Cutting Analysis

Code 4.1 - Generational Differences

Older students express concerns about response times and clarity.

Younger students appreciate transparency and accessibility but may be less tolerant of delays.

Code 4.2 - Departmental Variances

STEM students focus on the effectiveness of administrative responses.

Humanities students emphasize the need for clearer communication.

Code 4.3 - Socioeconomic Impact

Students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds express concerns about accessibility.

Higher-income students focus more on the quality and effectiveness of responses.

### 3.2.1 Key Study Themes

1. Importance of timely and effective responses.

2. Varied perceptions of language clarity and information transparency.

3. Diverse views on overall satisfaction, focusing on accessibility, transparency, and empathy.

4. Identified generational, departmental, and socioeconomic nuances in student perceptions.

## 4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The data analysis reveals a multifaceted perspective on administrative efficiency at Kogi State University, Kabba. While there is a general appreciation for effective and transparent communication, concerns regarding timeliness, particularly during critical periods like registration, are notable. Access to information on the university website varies among students, with some finding it challenging. Furthermore, generational, departmental, and socioeconomic factors contribute to nuanced perspectives on administrative efficiency. The findings underscore the importance of addressing various dimensions of administrative efficiency to meet the diverse needs of students. The identified concerns about response times during peak periods highlight the necessity for implementing measures to enhance service delivery during such critical times. Simplifying communication language is essential for improving clarity and ensuring that all students, regardless of background or department, can easily understand the information provided. Moreover, the challenges associated with website accessibility indicate the need for concerted efforts to improve the transparency of information available online. This involves not only making the website more user-friendly but also ensuring that the information is comprehensive and easily navigable. Additionally, the influence of generational, departmental, and socioeconomic factors suggests that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be effective in addressing administrative efficiency issues. Tailored strategies that take into account these diverse perspectives are essential for meaningful improvement.

### 4.1. Implications for Improvement

i. Implement measures to enhance response times during peak periods.

ii. Simplify communication language to improve clarity for all students.

iii. Focus on improving website accessibility and transparency of information.

iv. Provide training to enhance empathetic interactions in administrative responses.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Conclusively, the findings of this study offer valuable insights into the areas where administrative efficiency can be enhanced at Kogi State University, Kabba. By addressing concerns related to response times, communication clarity, website accessibility, and empathetic interactions, the university can improve





its service delivery and better meet the needs of its student population. Implementing the suggested measures, including enhancing response times during peak periods, simplifying communication language, improving website accessibility, and providing training for empathetic interactions, will contribute to a more efficient and student-friendly administrative system. Overall, this study provides a roadmap for actionable improvements based on the perspectives of the students, paving the way for a more responsive and effective administrative framework at the university.

## REFERENCES

- Bertalanffy, L. von. (1968). *General System Theory: Foundations, Development, Applications*. George Braziller.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A Multiple-Item Scale for Measuring Consumer Perceptions of Service Quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 64(1), 12-40.
- Chen, L., & Li, J. (2017). Administrative Responsiveness and Student Satisfaction: An Empirical Study in Higher Education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 25(1), 20-34.
- Garcia, A. B. (2020). The Impact of Response Relevance on Student Perceptions of Administrative Effectiveness. *Journal of College Student Development*, 61(3), 321-337.
- Johnson, M. A. (2016). Student Expectations and Satisfaction with Administrative Response Times in Higher Education. *Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice*, 53(1), 45-58.
- Liu, Y., & Wang, S. (2018). Enhancing Student Satisfaction: The Role of Clear Communication in Administrative Responses. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 40(4), 356-370.
- Adekunle, R. A. (2018). Enhancing Administrative Efficiency in Nigerian Higher Education Institutions. *Journal of Higher Education Administration*, 30(2), 112-128.
- Jones, A. (2019). Enhancing Administrative Efficiency in Higher Education: A Case Study Analysis. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 45(2), 215-231.
- Peters, M. (2017). Administrative Efficiency and Organizational Effectiveness: A Comparative Study of Global Higher Education Institutions. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 31(4), 489-504.
- Ogundele, O. J. (2019). The Impact of Information Technology on Administrative Efficiency in Nigerian Universities. *Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management*, 16(2).
- Smith, J., et al. (2020). Administrative Responsiveness and Student Satisfaction: A Systematic Review. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 38(4), 689-705.
- Smith, L. A. (2021). Administrative Efficiency and Institutional Reputation: A Comparative Analysis of Higher Education Institutions Worldwide. *Studies in Higher Education*, 46(7), 1295-1312.

